

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_100997
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE HEPATOPROTECTIVE ACTIVITY OF LEAF EXTRACTS OF MIMOSA PUDICA ON WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Abstract need the article main objectives.</p> <p>2. Introduction need more referencing for all the statements given. Need more historical and geographical information about the Mimosa pudica.</p> <p>3. Current article referencing needed.</p> <p>4. Grammatical errors observed all over the article.</p> <p>5. Chemical and material information are missing. Chemical's source and origin.</p> <p>6. What is room temperature, stirring speed and time? What filter used what membrane?</p> <p>7. Control sample information is missing.</p> <p>8. Spelling mistakes are observed all over the article.</p> <p>9. Control sample information missing.</p> <p>10. Discussion statements need more referencing and justification.</p> <p>11. Referencing 6,7,8,21,22 and 23 referencing need to be rewritten.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gunasekar Manoharan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA</b>