

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_101896
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficient Classes of Estimators of Population Mean under various Allocation Schemes in Stratified Random Sampling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In my opinion, the work presented is important for the scientific community since it opens up the possibility of using this stratification methodology for data that share characteristics with those presented in the work.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and clear.</p> <p>4. In my opinion, there is a need for another section of related works since there are few references presented in the paper.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think so.</p> <p>6. I think it is necessary to expand the references to related works and to compare them. This paper studies Efficient Classes of Estimators of Population Mean under various Allocation Schemes in Stratified Random Sampling. As a whole, the work is well conducted, both theoretically and empirically. The introduction presents how the study will be carried out in a clear way, however, it would be necessary to add a section on related works showing estimation strategies and a small comparison, since the works cited in the introduction are not sufficient. In sections 2-7, a short introduction to what is developed in these sections would be necessary.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I do not feel qualified to evaluate the language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús Ángel Román Gallego
Department, University & Country	University of Salamanca, Spain