

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102529
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Plant-Based Insecticides Contains Active Eugenol and Azadirachtin on Immune System of Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is very important for scientific community as, it involves eco-friendly practices of rearing animals to avoid health related issues during fish consumption.</p> <p>2. I suggest the title be re-written as 'The Effect of Plant-based Insecticides on the Immune System of Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.)'</p> <p>3. Yes, it is comprehensive enough</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate with some corrections stated in the manuscript</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. Although the reference style could be re-written in the APA format please.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyramsarah Blossom Setufe
Department, University & Country	University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana