

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_101924
Title of the Manuscript:	VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF LEARNING MODEL INSTRUMENTS FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY-BASED ENTREPRENEURSHIP COURSES IN SUPPORTING THE MERDEKA BELAJAR KAMPUS MERDEKA (MBKM) PROGRAM IN HIGHER EDUCATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Comprehensive, but the sentences are too lengthy</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Recent references were given. Few more references can be included on validity and reliability on Entrepreneurship course books.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language has to be monitored for scholarly communication. Framing sentences with the connectives and some deficient sentences can be avoided.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In abstract, introduction and in few other places sentences can be reframed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Anuradha
Department, University & Country	Dr. MGR Educational and Research Institute, India