

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_101341
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTING FRAUD IN BOS FUND MANAGEMENT (EVIDENCE FROM LAMPUNG- INDONESIA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>a) The principal point of this study was to detect the tendency of fraud in BOS fund management with the fraud diamond approach which is influenced by organizational competence and culture by using the quality of accounting information systems as mediating variables.</p> <p>b) I think that this study is suitable, after minor revision, to be published onto your prestigious journal.</p> <p>Comments to Author</p> <p>Author is kindly requested to emphasize the current concepts about these issues in the context of recent knowledge and the available literature. This articles should be quoted in the References list.</p> <p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In their own words: deception detection by victims and near victims of fraud. <i>Front Psychol.</i> 2023; 14: 1135369. Published 2023 May 12. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1135369. 2. Finding fraud: enforcement, detection, and recoveries after the ACA [published online ahead of print, 2023 May 15]. <i>Int J Health Econ Manag.</i> 2023;10.1007/s10754-023-09357-w. doi:10.1007/s10754-023-09357-w. 	<p>1.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carmine Finelli
Department, University & Country	Italy