

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_101908
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Emotional Intelligence and Self-Efficacy Correlates with Marital Satisfaction of Married Teachers in Anambra State?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper is organized logically and structured clearly. The study is important for the scientific community and for marriage practitioners. The title is dry and cut. The abstract is compressive but it is too long. The purposes of the study are clearly stated, but no need to write research purpose, questions and hypothesis separately in a single study. Only use one of them, and write all the three purposes/research questions / hypotheses in a single sentence. The research method is appropriate. Besides, the results are presented explicitly by the tables. Here in the result section, the researcher is suggested: 1) add some demographic information of the study participants, for example age, level of education, income and etc., 2) the presentation of the findings shows the researcher is novice so please try to put all the tables in to two tables; Table -1 the correlation among the three variables and Table -2 the multiple linear regression analysis. In addition, no t-test calculation is needed in Person correlation. The author is also suggested to write some more interpretation of the findings. The references are update and sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language is usage and its quality is good and understandable 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>N.B:- The author is suggested to see all the parts that are marked by Yellow on the main manuscript and try to modify , change and correct</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zewdu Girma Shifaw
Department, University & Country	UNICEF, Ethiopia