

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_101908
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Emotional Intelligence and Self-Efficacy Correlates with Marital Satisfaction of Married Teachers in Anambra State?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscripts will create interest among the scientific community. Title may be revised to avoid question mark, it may be in assertive sentence form. Yes, abstract is ok. Yes, structure is good, but more test results could be added in the results section. Besides, the author may add some future scopes and challenges of this research work. Is there any limitations of this study? If yes, please discuss in the discussion section. Could you please explain of taking a huge number of female participants as compared to the males? Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. More references with the most recent references could be included in the list, and in the literature review section.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need more corrections regarding English language and typos.	
Optional/General comments	Could you please explain how the sample size was chosen from the population? What is the basis?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhibul Haque Bhuyan
Department, University & Country	American International University, Bangladesh