

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_100955
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphometric Assessment of Bi-Caudate Index Using Computerized Tomographic Scan From Sokoto North-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The authors analyzed the Bi-Caudate Index BCI of more than 100 normal people. It has certain clinical significance, which is not easy for an African country. However, there are still many shortcomings : 1. It would be more meaningful for the author to add the description of the application of Bi-Caudate Index BCI, which would enable readers to discover the significance of the research more. 2. When making gender comparison, whether the normality test has been carried out, if not, it is necessary to use non-parametric test. When making scatter plots, why is series one in Chinese? Please correct. 3. Bi-Caudate Index BCI is definitely related to many factors, such as right-handedness. Therefore, it is better to increase the inclusion and exclusion criteria. 4. Comparison of baseline characteristics of the included population, such as age, sex, smoking and drinking history, should be included in the results section. In general, I agree to publish this article after revising and adding content. Good luck with your studies.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yu Shen
Department, University & Country	Nanchang University, China