

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_102376
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL EFFICACY OF JATROPHA CURCAS LEAF EXTRACT ON SOME BACTERIAL SPECIES ASSOCIATED WITH SURGICAL WOUNDS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Discovery of the novel antimicrobial agents is the need of the hour. How ever the present manuscript propose the antimicrobial efficacy of extract of Jatropha plant, the purified constituents need to be investigated as the activity is very much minimal.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1) Plant herbarium number needs to be mentioned. 2) The aqueous extract has been prepared by boiling the plant material in water for 24 Hrs. Which is likely to destroy most of the active ingredients. 3) The plant name and organism names are not written properly in many places. 4) DMSO is Dimethyl sulfoxide Not Dimethyl sulphur oxide. 5) Name of the <i>Klebsiella</i> species has to be specific. 6) The manuscript needs to be corrected grammatically and syntax errors must be minimized.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs minor corrections	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The paper needs more work on specific phytoconstituents.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kirankumar Hullatti
Department, University & Country	Sarojini College of Pharmacy, India