

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_102156
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic Resistance Profile of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae Isolated from Nworie River, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It focuses on the antimicrobial resistance of organisms found in the lake where the medical waste and industrial effluents are discharged into. They mentioned that the same water body is used as source of drinking water when the public water supply fails. It is important for scientific community and the local authorities to know the kind of microbial population it inhabits and their characteristics to take any action.</p> <p>Yes, the title of article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. It would be better if any other papers regarding the microbes in the same or similar water bodies are quoted. Also, in the discussion instead of writing "research carried by [15], it is better if the scientist's name is mentioned with et al and then the reference number is mentioned.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It would be better if all the grammatical errors are corrected and a polished version of article is put up.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>As the zones of inhibition are taken as measurement for antibiotic resistance, one or two pictures of the said plates can be included under the results.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. HemaRinda Sai Madhuri
Department, University & Country	St. Francis College for Women, India