

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_102877 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A study on post-harvest losses of paddy in Tiruvallur district – Statistical approach |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <p>Yes ! It is very useful and important because This study provides evidence that quantitative factors that contribute post-harvest losses from harvest to final output. Studies of correlation clearly demonstrated that variables such as threshing & drying and packing and storing(final output) were considerably and, while threshing and winnowing were significantly and adversely connected.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes subsection titles are also appropriate.</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes all recent references are used in the paper</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Grammar and punctuation must be corrected</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>Good research</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Sharada Patil |
| Department, University & Country | Savitribai Phule Pune University, India |