

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102875
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of TNAU-Water Soluble Fertilizers (TNAU-WSF) on Nutrient Uptake and Nutrient Use Efficiencies of Small Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> var. <i>aggregatum</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Recently among the state-of-the-art fertilizers are the water soluble fertilizers for fertigation. For this reason, new information about this kind of fertilizers based on the field experiment is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript results are based on the calculation of agronomic efficiency and nutrient recovery. The analysis of variance has been done. It confirms the scientific correction of the results.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient (15 references) and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend the article for publication. It was informative for me because of my experience in the development on the new types of fertilizer.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tatsiana Savitskaya
Department, University & Country	Belarusian State University, Belarus