

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102059
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of cider, baker yeast (<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>) and Hamei ((<i>Bacteria</i> (<i>Bacillus</i> sp.), fungi (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> van Tiegh.) and the yeast (<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>)) on pineapple cider.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The study is based on effects of different variables on pineapple cider quality. The methodologies and the results are of keen interest for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, but should be revised</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (should be checked and revised for grammatical and typographical errors)</p> <p>Some of the relevant references should be added as advised in comments</p> <p>Added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study entitled 'Effect of different levels of cider, baker yeast (<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>) and Hamei ((Bacteria (<i>Bacillus</i> sp.), fungi (<i>Aspergillus niger</i> van Tiegh.) and the yeast (<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>)) on pineapple cider' is based on impacts of difference microbes activities on pineapple cider. In the study, various factors have been studied and the results are represented in well and systematic manner. The study has sufficient scientific evidences with coherent objectives and it shall have wider readership if accepted. Before proceeding further, I have following comments for improving the quality of the manuscript-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title should be revised and it should reflect the impacts of microbes on pineapple cider. 2. The results shown after decimal should be uniform. Scientifically, it should have two digits after decimal. 3. The key words should be specific reflecting the whole study 4. Delete.. A brief account of my study, the verity of pineapple was used in my project is given below. 5. Check it...Originally, Cider used to be prepared from apple juice only that is consumed after a minimum 3-month fermentation period 6. Rephrase it.. The experimental entitled "Effect of different levels of cider, baker yeast and Hamei on pineapple cider" was conducted Post- Harvest Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. 7. Check the sentence...To significantly lower the microbe burden, the bottles that the cider will 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>be put in should be sterilised</p> <p>8. Add the full names of all abbreviations at the end of the text of the manuscript.</p> <p>9. The initial alcohol content of the preparations should be added for the ease of comparison.</p> <p>10. The formula for calculating acidity should be added.</p> <p>11. How the colour, aroma, taste and acceptability were determined? Add and explain. Also mention the initial value.</p> <p>12. In benefits: cost ratio, kindly add manpower cost and then calculate.</p> <p>13. Conclusion and discussion part is very weak elaborate it.</p> <p>14. The references should be in the desired format.</p> <p>15. The manuscript is full with typographical and grammatical mistakes. Get it checked and proof read by native English speaker or professional English correcting agency before resubmission.</p> <p>16. In methodology, completely randomised block design (CRBD) has been written to be applied but in research work, it is lacking. Add it and explain.</p> <p>17. Add the following references related to stability and physicochemical properties of herbal preparations-</p> <p>Anjali Daverey, Usha Sharma, Vipin Kumar Sharma, N.N.Pandey. (2016). The pharmaceutico-analytical study of oleaginous formulation: Arjuna ghrita: International Journal of Ayurveda and Pharma Research. 4(8):29-32. ISSN: 2322-0902.</p> <p>Vipin Kumar Sharma, Deepika Verma, Usha Sharma, Rajnikant Rohila, Pandey NN.(2016). Applicability of Physicochemical Parameters in Stability and Self-Life Estimation of Ayurvedic Semi-Solid Dosage Form 'Ashtamangal Ghrita' at Accelerated Storage Conditions. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences. 7(1): 170-182.</p> <p>Estimation of shelf-life of Balachaturbhadraka syrup containing different sweetening agents. C Goyal, P Bhatt, S Rawat, VK Sharma, MR Ahuja. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology 15 (11), 5078-5083</p> <p>Influence of storage conditions on physic-chemical properties and self-life of Devdarvadyarishta PPS Chinky Goyal, Shiwani Rawat, Vipin Kumar International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 13 (3)</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vipin Kumar Sharma
Department, University & Country	Gurukul Kangri University, India