

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102895
Title of the Manuscript:	An analytic case study of lentic ecosystem and rejuvenation of Bellandur and Varthur lakes in Bengaluru, India.
Type of the Article	<i>Case Study</i>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) YES</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) YES</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? YES</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? NO (see the reviews comments at the right)</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? YES</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. NO (see the reviews comments at the right)</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Bad English Language For Many Sentences , (These Are Shown In Bold Red Colours In The Attached Original Manuscript). These sentences should be corrected , so that the manuscript could be understood.</p> <p>2- Remarks Given In (Bold Blue Colours In The Attached Original Manuscript)</p> <p>2-1 Sub Sections Must Be Given Numbers.</p> <p>2-2 Reference Number Should Be Given In The Text , Whenever Suitable / Required ???!</p> <p>2-3 Fig. No. 2 , Did Not Refer To It In The Text.</p> <p>2-4 Tables : Should Be refer To Them In The Text , Whenever They Appear In This Text , Provides That Tables No. 4 and 5 , Should Be Re-Located In The Text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? NO (see the reviews comments at the right)</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>All The Mentioned Reviewers Comments Should Be Considered Before The Acceptance Of This Article For Publication.</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Eldaghmah
Department, University & Country	College of Criminal Justice, Naif Arab University for Security Sciences, Saudi Arabia