

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102681</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bridging the Digital Divide in Agriculture: Investigating Barriers to ICT Adoption and Developing Customized Solutions for Sustainable Farming Practices</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Study for ICT Adoption</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes; has detailed findings on ICT adoption</b></p> <p><b>Not really, the title can read:</b> "Bridging the Digital Divide in Agriculture: An Investigation to ICT Adoption for Sustainable Farming Practices in Banaskantha district of Gujarat"</p> <p><b>Comprehensive but details repeated</b></p> <p><b>Sub sections are appropriate</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>References are not exhaustively listed</b></p> <p><b>Important information</b></p> <p><b>Author can adopt this title</b></p> <p><b>Proof read document to eliminate repetitions</b></p> <p><b>Sub sections are okay</b></p> <p><b>Yes, its scientific research</b></p> <p><b>Update the references</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Grammar and tense	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Check and correct on grammar and tense	
	<p>Improve on general formatting</p> <p>Remove such sweeping statements: identify impediments to ICT adoption, and create customised solutions to bridge the gap, promoting fair access to and effective use of ICT tools for sustainable farming practises.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josphat Kyalo
Department, University & Country	Kenyatta University, Kenya