

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102506
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Soil Health, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) var. Gomati
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The T9 treatment combination, which consists of NPK and poultry manure, has shown the best results in terms of yield compared to other treatment combinations. This combination has yielded 71.58 quintals per hectare. Furthermore, the T9 treatment is recommended for farmers who want to achieve profitable production of cowpea while maintaining soil fertility and productivity and also promoting economics. It is important for farmers to consider the long-term benefits of sustainable farming practices and the use of integrated nutrients. 2. The title is appropriate. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Corrections to several grammatical mistakes need to be completed.	
Optional/General comments	Relevant and important research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunath Chandranauth
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research and Extension Institute, Guyana