

Influence of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Soil Health, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) var. Gomati

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted on “**Influence of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Soil Health, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) var. Gomati.**” during kharif season 2022 at the research farm Department of soil science and agricultural chemistry, NAINI, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The design applied was 3x3 RBD having three levels of poultry-manure @ 0%, 50 % 100% ha^{-1} and NPK @ 0, 50 and 100%. The result obtained with treatment T₉ [NPK @ 100% + poultry manure @ 100% ha^{-1}] that showed poultry manure in combination resulted in a slight change in soil pH at 0-15 cm was found 7.56 and $\text{EC}_{e25\text{c}}$ 0.25 dS m^{-1} respectively. In post soil of fertilizers observations were resulted in non-significant increase in depth 0-15 cm , bulk density 1.254 Mg m^{-3} , particle density 2.314 Mg m^{-3} and significant in pore space 48.12 %, Water holding capacity 43.99%, Organic Carbon 0.46 %, and Av. N 285.41 kg ha^{-1} , P 23.47 kg ha^{-1} , K 208.47 kg ha^{-1} , in case of Nitrogen kg ha^{-1} , Phosphorus kg ha^{-1} and Potassium kg ha^{-1} was found to be significant among other treatments in cowpea cultivation and soil quality improvement. The maximum yield regarding, gave the best results with respect to plant height 73.28cm, number of leaves plant^{-1} 36.96. number of pod plant^{-1} 10.72, weight of pod plant^{-1} 38 g. It gave highest yield 71.58 q ha^{-1} . It was also revealed that the application with organic manures was excellent source for fertilization than fertilizers.

Keywords: Soil properties, nutrients cowpea, poultry manure, morphological parameters *etc.*

INTRODUCTION

Pulses have long been recognized and valued as “Soil building” crops. Growing pulses improves soil quality through their beneficial effects on soil biological, chemical and physical conditions. Organic materials are intrinsic and essential components of all soils and it makes a living dynamic system in the soil that supports all life residing in soil. Organic matter plays a vital role in improving the physical, chemical, and biological condition of soil. Besides, addition of N, P, K organic manures are a potential source of micronutrients and improve soil structure by providing binding action

to soil aggregates, increases water holding capacity and improve buffering capacity of soils. Although release of nutrients is slow but steadily for longer duration thus preventing their losses by leaching and other means and improves nutrient use efficiency of the crop. The nutrients supplementation through organic sources also has been found to be a good carrier for flourishing of microbes resulting into sustained soil productivity and enhanced enzymatic activities of soil which play a vital role in the transformation of unavailable form of nutrient into available form and gives rise an organic recycling process along with improving soil health. Use of organic manure alone or in combination with chemical fertilizers, helps in improving physico-chemical properties of the soil, improves the efficient utilization of applied fertilizers resulted in higher seed yield and quality. The increasing use of NPK fertilizers generally devoid of micronutrients, had no doubt remarkably increased the food production but it brought with a host of problems related to micro nutrient deficiencies by depleting their resources in soil. For integrated nutrient management in maize cultivation, PM is usually applied to the prepared soil two weeks before planting (**Uwah *et al.*, 2011**) to allow the mineralization of the PM. Potassium plays important role in formation of protein and chlorophyll and it provide much of osmotic “pull” that draw water into plant roots. Potassium produces strong stiff straw in maize and reduce lodging in maize. Potassium imparts increase vigor and disease resistance to plant (**Cobbinah *et al.*, 2011**). Urea may be applied to maize farms in different growing stages. Delaying or early application of urea to plants may have an implication on soil chemical properties, growth, and yield of the crop. Many researchers have suggested that N should be applied at the time it is needed by the crop (**Ogunboye *et al.* 2020 and Sitthaphanit *et al.*, 2010**).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The investigation “**Influence of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Soil Health, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) var. Gomati.**” comprise of a field experiment which was carried out at the Soil Science Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology, Prayagraj during Kharif season 2022. The details about the experiment site, soil and climate are described in this chapter together with the experimental design, layout plan, cultural practice, particulars of treatments, planting material and techniques employed for the parameters.

The experiment was conducted at research farm of soil science at NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, the area is situated on the south of Prayagraj on the right side of the river Yamuna on the south of rewa road at a distance of about 6 km from Prayagraj city. It is situated at 25⁰57” N latitude, 81⁰59” E longitude and at the altitude of 98 meter above the sea level, comes under subtropical belt in the South east of Uttar Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46⁰C – 48⁰C and seldom falls as low as 4⁰C – 5⁰C. The relative humidity ranged between 20 to 94 percent. The average rainfall in this area is around 1100 mm annually.

The soil samples were randomly collected from one site in the experiment plot prior to tillage operation from a depth of 0-15 cm. The volume of the soil sample was reduced by coning and quartering the composites soil sample will be air dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve by way of preparing the sample for physical and chemical analysis.

Table 1. Treatment combination of cowpea

Treatments	Treatment combinations
T ₁	Absolute control
T ₂	(RDF @ 0%+PM @ 50%)
T ₃	(RDF @ 0%+PM @ 100%)
T ₄	(RDF @ 50%+PM @ 0%)
T ₅	(RDF @ 50%+PM @ 50%)
T ₆	(RDF @ 50%+PM @ 100%)
T ₇	(RDF @ 100%+PM @ 0%)
T ₈	(RDF @ 100%+PM @ 50%)
T ₉	(RDF @ 100%+PM @ 100%)

Source: ICAR (2010)

RDF = N = 20 kg ha⁻¹, P₂O₅ = 60 kg ha⁻¹ & K₂O = 40 kg ha⁻¹ (DAP & MOP)

Poultry manure = 4 t ha⁻¹ (N = 3.0 %, P = 1.0 % & K = 1.5 %)

Table 2. Protocols for physical and chemical analysis of soil

Particular	Scientist, Year
Textural class (Sand, Silt, Clay) %	Bouyoucos, 1962
Bulk density (Mg m ³)	Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992
Particle density (Mg m ³)	Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992
Pore space (%)	Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992
Water holding capacity (%)	Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992
Soil pH (1:2.5) (w/v)	Jackson, 1958
Soil EC (dS m ⁻¹)	Wilcox, 1950
Organic Carbon (%)	Walkley and Black, 1947
Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	Subbiah and Asija, 1956
Available Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	Olsen et al, 1954
Available Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	Toth and Prince, 1949

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil parameters

The composition of NPK and Poultry manure have significant increase on the soil parameters. The increase of pore space %, water holding capacity %, organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium with the improvement of soil parameters, table 2. shown that application of different levels of NPK and Poultry manure have significant role on soil. In treatment T₁ lowest data observed, pore space 42.02%, water holding capacity 40.37%, organic carbon 0.28%, nitrogen 258.97 kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus 18.62 kg ha⁻¹, potassium 175.62 kg ha⁻¹ and T₉ shows the highest pore space 48.12, water holding capacity 45.99%, organic carbon 0.46%, nitrogen 285.41 kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus 23.47 kg ha⁻¹ and potassium 208.47 kg ha⁻¹, in 0-15 cm depth of soil.

Effect of different levels of NPK and poultry manure on soil properties

In fig 1. and 2. the treatment T₉ is the maximum potential of soil parameters that improve the soil followed by T₈. It eventually shows that the NPK and poultry manure application is the beneficial effect on the soil, that will maintain the soil. T₁ shows that lowest effect on the soil.

Table 3. Effect of different levels of NPK and Poultry manure on soil properties

Treatments	Pore space (%)	Water holding capacity (%)	Organic carbon (%)	Nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (Kg ha ⁻¹)
	0-15 cm	0-15 cm	0-15 cm	0-15 cm	0-15 cm	0-15 cm
T ₁	42.02	40.37	0.28	219.30	18.62	175.62
T ₂	43.66	41.02	0.31	224.43	19.27	178.27
T ₃	44.37	42.30	0.34	229.31	19.85	183.25
T ₄	44.92	43.21	0.36	235.54	20.47	187.09
T ₅	45.98	43.45	0.38	239.31	21.11	192.85
T ₆	46.52	44.37	0.40	245.50	21.61	196.47
T ₇	46.88	44.80	0.42	252.48	22.25	202.11
T ₈	47.64	45.59	0.44	267.66	23.09	205.61
T ₉	48.12	45.99	0.46	278.49	23.47	208.47




Fig 1. Effect of different levels of NPK and Poultry manure on pore space, water holding capacity and organic carbon




Fig 2. Effect of different levels of NPK and poultry manure on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium

kg ha⁻¹

Table 4. Influence of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers on yield of cowpea

Treatment	Treatment Combination	Pod yield (q ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Absolute control	52.83
T ₂	(RDF @ 0%+PM @ 50%)	54.58
T ₃	(RDF @ 0%+PM @ 100%)	54.86
T ₄	(RDF @ 50%+PM @ 0%)	56.66
T ₅	(RDF @ 50%+PM @ 50%)	58.96
T ₆	(RDF @ 50%+PM @ 100%)	63.67
T ₇	(RDF @ 100%+PM @ 0%)	64.58
T ₈	(RDF @ 100%+PM @ 50%)	67.63
T ₉	(RDF @ 100%+PM @ 100%)	71.58

As depicted in table 4 that the maximum pod yield of cowpea was 71.58 q ha⁻¹ found in T₉ [NPK @ 100 % + PM @ 100 %] followed by T₈ [NPK @ 100 % + @ PM 50 %] and the minimum pod yield q ha⁻¹ was found in T₁ which was 52.83 q ha⁻¹ respectively.

CONCLUSION

It revealed that the treatment combination T₉ [NPK @ 100 % + PM @ 100 %] shows best results with respect to in comparison to other treatment combinations and gave highest yield 71.58 q ha⁻¹. According to the T₉ if farmer apply integrated nutrients *i.e.*, NPK and poultry manure for profitable production of cowpea, economics and maintain soil fertility and productivity.

REFERENCE

Ahmed Mohamed El-Sayed and Elzaawely Abdelnaser Abdelghany (2010). Growth and Yield of Cowpea Plants in Response to Organic Fertilization. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 4(8): 3244-3249.

Cobbinah FA, Addo-Quaye AA, Asante IK. (2011) Characterization, evaluation and selection of cowpea accessions with desirable traits from eight regions of Ghana. *ARPN J Agric. Biol. Sci.* 2011; 6:21-32.

David, M. S. and Biswas, D. R. (2010) Effect of phosphorus, poultry manure, Biogas slurry and farmyard manure on dry matter yield and utilization of applied P by wheat. *Nuclear Agricultural Biology, Vol. 25(2)*.

Dekhane, S.S., Khafi, H.R., Raj, A.D., and Parmar, R.M. (2011) Effect of bio fertilizer and fertility levels on yield, protein content and nutrient uptake of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Legume Res.*, **34 (1)**: 51–54.

Jackson, M. L. (1958) Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 498 p.

Muthuval, P., Udayasoorian, C., Natesan, R. and Ramaswami, P. R. (1992) *Introduction to Soil Analysis*, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

Ogunboye O. I., Adekiya A. O., Ewulo B., Olayanju A. (2020) Effects of split application of urea fertilizer on soil chemical properties, maize performance and profitability in southwest Nigeria. *The Open Agriculture Journal.* ;14(1):36–42. doi: 10.2174/1874331502014010036.

Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanabe, F. S. and Dean, L. A. (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular 939. US Government Printing Office, Washington DC. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.* 47(2): 111-114.

Subbiah, B. V. and Asija, G. L. (1956) A Rapid Procedure for the Estimation of Available Nitrogen in Soils. *Current Science*, **25**: 259-260.

Toth, S. J. and Prince, A. L. (1949) Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable calcium, potassium, and sodium contents of soils by flame photometer techniques. *Soil Sci.*, **67**: 439-445.

Uwah D. F., Afonne F. A., R Essien A. (2011) Integrated nutrient management for sweet maize (*Zea mays* (L.) *saccharata* Strut.) production in calabar, Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences.* ;5(11):1019–1025.

Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. (1947) An examination of Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, **37**: 29-38.

Wilcox , L.V. (1950) Electrical conductivity, *Amer. water works assoc. J.* 42 775-776.