

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102259
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phosphorus levels and micronutrients on Growth and Yield of Babycorn.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract are rewriting and clear findings mentions</p> <p>No (comments and suggestion are write main manuscript)</p> <p>Technical programme may be check and correct (fertilizer doses of control and treatments not clear mentions)</p> <p>2-3 new references added by author</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Economic not calculated by author but mention were ABSTRACT and CONCLUSION. ➤ Several verbs and sentences have been used more than once. ➤ Did you involve methodology in your ABSTRACT.....Why? ➤ Materials and methods are mention how to method of sowing during kharif season, sowing and harvesting date, variety name ➤ Treatment details are mention in Materials and methods ➤ RDF clear for all treatment of baby corn 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Strictly follow the format of (IJECC) for references list. ➤ Use same style throughout the references 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amitesh Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, India