

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101545
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Multi-Fruit and Vegetable Grading: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? A) The manuscript presents a literature review. The contribution focuses on the analysis of journals to identify approaches. I believe that authors need to clarify so that they can contribute to the literature. Is the title of the article adequate? A) It is adequate, I believe it would need to present or evidence Advances in Multi-Fruit and Vegetable Grading Is the article summary comprehensive? A) No, it is too brief, it does not present the objective, methodological procedures, results and conclusion Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript adequate? A) For the literature review study, there was no analysis of the journals, informing which approaches are most used, which tools are most used, which journal publishes the most. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? A) In a literature review, it lacks evidence of how it contributes to the literature. Are the references sufficient and recent? A) There are 32 in total, no procedure for searching and filtering the references was presented. Since only 9 are references after 2019. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	OK, but needs a review	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sérgio Murilo Petri
Department, University & Country	Universidade de Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil