

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_103391
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Characterization of Titania Nanotubes with Au Nanoparticles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Dear editor</p> <p>1) Yes , it is scientifically manuscript 2) The title is Ok 3) The abstract is Ok 4) The subsections are Ok 5) The manuscript is good written 6) The Introductions needs to improve with some citations</p> <p>Dear Editor I reviewed the manuscript entitled " Synthesis and Characterization of Titania Nanotubes with Au Nanoparticles " in detail . I think the submitted manuscript can be published after a minor revision. My comments are as bellows:</p> <p>1) The Introduction should be improved . please first add this text or like this with adding bellow references in introduction part :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Electrodeposition is a well-known method to produce in situ metallic coatings by the action of an electric current on a conductive material immersed in a solution containing a salt of the metal to be deposited. The electrodeposited films have a high mechanical and chemical stability . after text you please cite bellow refences : <p>[A] Development of α-polyoxometalate–polypyrrole–Au nanoparticles modified sensor applied for detection of folic acid, Biosensors and ioelectronics , Volume 60, 15 October 2014, Pages 185-190.</p> <p>[B] Fabricating a new electrochemically modified pencil graphite electrode based on acetophenone (2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydrazone for determining selenium in food and water samples, Anal. Methods, 2018,10, 5205-5213.</p> <p>[C] New electrochemical sensor for direct quantification of vitamin K in human blood serum , Microchemical Journal</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English is Ok</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arash Baba Khanian
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran