

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_102973 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Artificial Intelligence (AI) Developments and their Implications for Humankind: A critical analysis of contemporary trends and perspectives |
| Type of the Article | Expository |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. The article makes a balanced case for Artificial Intelligence as a tool that could be further developed to compliment humans rather than replace them. The author(s) examined the implications and considerations for using AI such as economic and ethical issues. 2. Yes. The title is suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive and quite adequate. 4. Yes. However, large sections of referenced articles are lifted into this work (see section 4.1). It is good practice to rephrase a source rather than uplifting several lines, even if cited. Also, Section 5(conclusion) should highlight the core ideas discussed in the article. Hence, it need not be too voluminous and may not require citations. 5. The article basically analyses various views of the subject matter basing them on established scientific theories. 6. Yes. The references are recent, relevant, and sufficient. 7. Uniform citation styles should be used throughout. For example, "Susan Blackmore (2011)" was used in some instances but "Nagel (1974)" format used in other cases. 8 Few spelling and grammatical errors identified need to be corrected. 9. I recommend using "the research" rather than pronouns ("he", "she" e.t.c) | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes. Language is standard English.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>This article is vital in understanding the perceptions about artificial intelligence and its considerations.</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Pius Uagbae Ejodamen |
| Department, University & Country | Admiralty University of Nigeria, Nigeria |