

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_103216
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF VARIOUS DOMINATIONS IN BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC ANTI FUZZY GRAPHS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It discusses various domination parameters in Bipolar Intutionstic Fuzzy Graphs</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The abstract is to be rewritten. It is not in order. It has to be properly written.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The abstract has to be rewritten.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Section 2 contains list of definitions and theorems, where these are used can be highlighted in the middle or inbetween them. Proof of theorem 4.20 is to be given.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Y B Venkatakrishnan
Department, University & Country	SASTRA Deemed to be University, India