

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRID_101834</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of COVID-19 infection by federative entity in Mexico: a focus on economic inequalities and vulnerability.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- <b>Yes, the manuscript describes findings allows others to learn from and expound on the information so that society ultimately benefits.</b></p> <p>2- The title of the article is suitable and reflects the study's outcome.</p> <p>3- The abstract is informative and conveys the entire message of the paper effectively.</p> <p>4- The abstract is precise and contains well-balanced information.</p> <p><b>The introduction</b> emphasizes the importance of the study and provides clearly the rationale and justification for undertaking it</p> <p><b>Material and method</b> Provide a precise description of how experiments were done.</p> <p><b>Results</b> the findings of the study were presented in clear, concise, and objective manner using tables and figures.</p> <p><b>Discussion is</b> well-balanced and provides true interpretations of the results.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> information conveyed.</p> <p>5- <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>6- Yes sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reham Aboshdid
Department, University & Country	Qatar