

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_101669
Title of the Manuscript:	Is previous Covid 19 infection a boon or bane for dengue patient? - A study from a tertiary care hospital in North India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes ... especially in the area of Bio-Informatics Updation of title required "Post Covid 19 Circumstances for dengue patient - A case study" would be a preferable title Abstract must contain a minimum of 120-150 words focusing on the central theme of the manuscript IEEE / Springer format to be maintained Yes Recent references are included but they are to be placed in a chronological order and all the reference papers are to be cited in the manuscript accordingly	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can be improved to form complete sentences	
Optional/General comments	Sections and sub-sections to be rearranged and focus must be on the theme and conclusion of the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K Chandra Shekar
Department, University & Country	Guru Nanak Institutions Technical Campus, India