

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_102831
Title of the Manuscript:	Exercise and Weight Loss as a Strategy for Chronic Pain Control: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, It has the critically analyse the various researches conducted in the subject area. Yes. The title is attractive and catchy Yes. It is quite comprehensively structured. Though sources of article could be added in this part. Yes. It is appropriate. The body of the information cited from various article could have been sited differently hence clarity could be established. i.e. the reference shouldn't be written like 2-3 or 5-7 etc. It is adequate & appropriate.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Sure	
Optional/General comments	Alignment, and arrange the heading in suggestible font type according the journal guideline	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinaya Kumary. T
Department, University & Country	Sankalchand Patel University, India