

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_102398 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Effect of Hydrocortisone-Ascorbic Acid and Thiamine Therapy on the Outcome of Patients with Sepsis: Prospective Randomized Double-Blinded Controlled Trial |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Required REVIEW Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) YES 2. Is the title of the article adequate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R/ ACCORDING TO THE THEME, THE TITLE IS APPROPRIATE 3. Is the article summary comprehensive? R/ THE SUMMARY IS ADEQUATE AND ADJUSTED TO THE GUIDELINES OF THE JOURNAL. IT ONLY HAS MORE WORDS THAN AUTHORIZED BY THE JOURNAL. MUST ADJUST THE SUMMARY. 4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? R/ THE AUTHORS DID NOT MAKE SUBSECTIONS IN THE MANUSCRIPT, WHICH MAKES READING AND COMPRETION DIFFICULT 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? R/ THE MANUSCRIPT MAY BE CONSIDERED CORRECT, BUT IT MUST BE READJUSTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE JOURNAL'S RULES. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form. R/ THE REFERENCES ARE SUFFICIENT AND UPDATED. THEY ONLY SHOW AN ERROR IN THE TEXT, WHICH MUST BE CORRECTED. REFERENCES MUST BE PLACED IN THE TEXT BETWEEN PARENTS AND NOT IN EXPONENTIAL FORM (In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) <p>REVIEW minor comments</p> <p>R/ THIS ARTICLE HAS SMALL ERRORS THAT MUST BE CORRECTED BEFORE PUBLICATION.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the language/English quality of the article adequate for scholarly communications? R/ I THINK THE QUALITY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE IS CORRECT AND SUITABLE FOR THIS SCIENTIFIC WORK. IS SUITABLE FOR ACADEMIC COMMUNICATIONS | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Name: | Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez |
| Department, University & Country | Havana University, Cuba |