

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_102497
Title of the Manuscript:	PLANT GROWTH SUCCESS IN FOREST AND LAND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES IN MENAMANG VILLAGE, MUARA KAMAN DISTRICT, KUTAI KARTENEGARA REGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it's important for scientific community but it's not sound good, because the title variables are not well elaborated</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not suitable. As long as this title is in the Land Use-Land Cover domain, it needs to be revised as follows: <u>PLANT DYNAMICS AND GROWTH IN FOREST AND LAND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES</u></p> <p>3. The author should highlight the abstract by adding suitable results and the problem solution.</p> <p>4. Not at all. Some mistakes should be corrected as I already started in the manuscript</p> <p>5. Not at all, the introduction, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion should be rebuilt. There are several mistakes to be taken off</p> <p>6. References are insufficient. It is unacceptable to conduct such a scientifically interesting study with just 7 authors in the references. I suggest those authors below to help the author to highlight the whole paper.</p> <p>8- Heidi Zimmer et al. (2022). Rehabilitating forest and marginal land using native species in mountainous northern Vietnam, Volume 10, Trees, Forests and People, Elsevier</p> <p>9- Xueying Zhuang (1997). Rehabilitation and development of forest on degraded hills of Hong Kong, Volume 99, Issues 1-2, Forest Ecology and Management, Elsevier</p> <p>10- Laslo Pancel Forest Restoration and Rehabilitation in the Tropics, Tropical Forestry Handbook</p> <p>11- de Jong W (2005). Understanding forest landscape dynamics. In: ITTO/IUCN (ed) Restoring forest landscapes- an introduction to the art and science of forest landscape restoration. ITTO technical series no. 23</p> <p>12- FAO (2001). Global forest resources assessment 2000. Main report. Forestry paper 140, Rome</p> <p>13- FAO (2010). Global forest resources assessment, forestry paper 163, Rome</p> <p>14- S. Kobayashi, J.W. Turnbull, C. Cossalter (2001) Rehabilitation of Degraded Tropical Forest Ecosystems: Workshop Proceedings 2-4 November 1999 Bogor, Indonesia</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author must clearly define the problematic issue, provide a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done in this study. In the results and discussions, at least the demonstration of the success of plant growth from the geographical models could have made this study more interesting.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frederic Chamberlin Lounang Tchatchouang
Department, University & Country	University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon