

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102959
Title of the Manuscript:	Vertical distribution of forms of sulphur in relation to physicochemical properties of lateritic soils of Eastern India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The Manuscript discusses the presents of sulphur in the lateritic soils of Eastern India. The paper is relevant to the Journal.</p> <p>2- The title is suitable.</p> <p>3- The Abstract needs to be rewritten.</p> <p>4- The subsections are appropriate.</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient and current.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality is acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Lines 8- 14: Remove this part and add it to the introduction. In the Abstract, talk about the present study First, discuss the objectives of the study, then the methods and the experiments and finally, present the results. Rewrite the Abstract.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Line 83- 96: Provide a map for the sampling locations. How did you collect the samples? Present the steps followed to collect the samples, precautions taken, type of containers used, time of the day, temperature,.... How did you treat the samples? Present the protocol followed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikrema Hassan
Department, University & Country	University of New Brunswick, Canada