

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103301
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS IN ADOPTION OF SOLAR WATER PUMP SETS IN THE SELECTED AREA OF CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?</b> ESI A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEACHING AND MANAGEMENT PROFESSION INFLUENCE OF MANAGERS ON THE TEACHING PROFESSION OF THEIR ESTABLISHMENTS</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article appropriate?</b> THE TITLE IS ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, A PRECISE TITLE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ARTICLE</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article complete?</b> IT IS WELL DEVELOPED, AND GIVES US THE IDEA OF WHAT WE WILL READ IN THE ARTICLE</p> <p>4. <b>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> IT IS A VERY WELL DEVELOPED MANUSCRIPT, WITH SUBTITLES THAT GUIDE DEEPER IDEAS OF THE TEXT</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> FULLY</p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have any suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM OF WHAT IS SUGGESTED, WELL</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	English need to be improved	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	THIS ARTICLE IS A CONTRIBUTION TO THE SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE	

### PART 2:

**Review Form 1.7**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Maanuel Salum Tome</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Catolica de Temuco, Chile</b>