

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_101885</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluating the Efficacy of Different Bioinoculants and Bioenhancers on the Vegetative growth and Yield of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) cv. Winter dawn</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, The results of the experiment with different treatments and repetitions have a scientific value. Whether the treatment effect is significant or not. Fortunately, a good and valuable result has been obtained in this research. Nevertheless, it is better to determine the significance levels between treatments for each variable in order to increase its scientific value.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>- Statistics of the cultivated area and production of strawberries, especially the Winter dawn cultivar, should be presented.</p> <p>- When or How many days after planting the treatments were done?</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The significance level of the data is not known in the tables. Please be marked with letters.</li> <li>- It is better to specify the standard deviation bars on the columns in Figure 1.</li> <li>- It is better to strengthen the discussion section: The mechanism of action of bioinoculants and bioenhancers should be discussed more. The results of this research should be compared with the results of other research.</li> <li>- Most of the content written in the discussion section is repeated in the Conclusion. It is better to write a brief and useful conclusion in one paragraph.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ebrahim Abedi Geshlaghi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Guilan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Iran</b>