

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101634
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and productivity of Maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids under agro-climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P., India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The author/s is/are commended for doing this kind of research. The paper is very good in its intention. Yes Yes, The author comprises the abstract in a confined manner. Yes, The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are organised in a sequential order. Yes. References are sufficient and still more recent reviews that can be added.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Can add some graphical representation to improve the results and discussion part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Kailashkumar
Department, University & Country	Mahendra Engineering College, India