

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100157</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on soil properties, productivity and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1)- The manuscript is highly suitable for the scientific community, considering the targeted objective and the adopted approaches.</p> <p>2)- The title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>3)- The abstract is ok. There should however some corrections that need to be reflected as coloured in BLUE and YELLOW.</p> <p>4)- The sub-sections are ok. I have fully and appropriately effected all the necessary corrections, reframing, restructuring and grammar upgrade. The BLUE HIGHLIGHTED words, statements and sentences, are an indication of the corrected areas for the perusal of the author(s), and should be adopted and reflected in the final updated manuscript that would be sent back for publication.</p> <p>5)- The manuscript is, to the best of my knowledge, scientifically correct.</p> <p>6)- The list of references has to be properly and appropriately punctuated, and the years bracketed. This should be perused by the author(s) for future works.</p> <p><b>ALL STATEMENTS, IDEAS, OR WORDS THAT ARE HIGHLIGHTED IN BLUE SHOULD BE ADOPTED AS CORRECTED. ALL AESTERIKED AND RED COLOURED STATEMENTS OR WORDS SHOULD BE DELETED.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES, IT IS.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aliyu Kangiwa Ibrahim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Polytechnic Kaura Namoda, Nigeria</b>