

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102996
Title of the Manuscript:	Selective Gap Analysis of the Escape Hatch in the Umbrella Trap with Six Doors for the Blue Swimming Crab (<i>Portunus pelagicus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Partially. Look at the abstract. What is the meaning of ogive?</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Mostly</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>7. Part 3.1. Justified the paragraph.</p> <p>8. Table 2. What is SL?</p> <p>9. Latin name must be italic.</p> <p>10. Page 3. Based on estimation Please arrange the paragraph. It is very hard to read it.</p> <p>11. How did you determine the L50%? Elaborate.</p> <p>12. Figure 5, 6 and 7. Why there are differences of L50% between all of them? Explain.</p> <p>13. Part 4. Conclusion, no need to add (:). Revise.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are several grammatical errors. Please use Grammarly apps to improve the language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Abdurrahman Munir
Department, University & Country	Alma Ata University, Indonesia