

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102422
Title of the Manuscript:	E-learning Challenges faced by the primary school teachers: A study from Taif, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES The section 'Literature Review' and the introduction should be combined. Many assertions are made without justification. Section 5 - 'Findings, discussions, and conclusions' is given and then qualified in the sentence below as 'main findings, critical discussions, and conclusions' - these are slightly different. And what is written in the Discussion are not critical and this needs more work to explain the findings</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. NO - this work needs many more references. You should refer to Zahwah Alanazi and Mjaed Alatawi in your literature especially in relation to gender differences (Alanzi) and reasons for not engaging with change (Alatawi)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There needs to be more work on the English. Some of the sentences have been translated with a electronic translator and therefore the sense is not correct. Be sure to use a native English speaker to help with the writing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tom McCloughlin
Department, University & Country	Dublin City University, Ireland