

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102422
Title of the Manuscript:	E-learning Challenges faced by the primary school teachers: A study from Taif, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the work is relevant to the academic/scientific community, considering the importance of E-learning to the knowledge industry.</p> <p>No! The title should be written "E-learning Challenges Faced by Primary School Teachers: A Case Study of Taif, Saudi Arabia."</p> <p>No! the abstract of this study is not properly written and the author is advised to rewrite it professionally. Abstract, the summary of a research finding should be written in prose form, single space line and not more than 300 words and not been itemised in sections as done by the author.</p> <p>Most of the sections are repeated for no justifiable reasons and has been advised to delete them. The structure of the work is also poorly arranged as if it is a BSc. research dissertation. The author is advised to arrange his work properly, maybe looking at other journal publications.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, the references are up to date and sufficient enough. The author used APA 7th edition.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, to a large extend</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author is advised to arrange his work properly as research work for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Igbani, Owede Robbins
Department, University & Country	Federal University Otuoke, Nigeria