

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_102133
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Manufacturing Sub-Sector Performance on Productivity in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Manuscript Review for Ms_AJEBA_102133

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is genuine and advised to insert statistical results of the study findings in the abstracts. The title is suitable for the article with some editorial errors and some justification to be covered. Subsections and flow are correct with some minor improvements; improvements on methodology is required slightly; References are okay</p> <p>1. The researcher should be able to state the author of the theory, the period dated the theory; i create the link with the study objectives; state what is the theory about; the strength or weaknesses; conclude why the researcher adopted the theory.</p> <p>2. The researcher should explain about the research design adopted by the study or how the model influences the grounding of the study;</p> <p>3. The Reasercher should state the population and sample size and explain the model how is it connected with the variables of the study before executing with the variables direct.</p> <p>4. The Researcher is rich in literature review but researcher didn't support his/her findings of the study or compliment her/his findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Editorial error exists and grammatical errors as shown in the attached document	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Should be accepted after incorporating the comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alex Reuben Kira
Department, University & Country	The University of Dodoma, Tanzania