

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJARR_101946   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DRIVERS' BEHAVIOUR AND RISK PERCEPTION AMONG HAULAGE COMPANIES IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA |
| Type of the Article      | Original Artikel  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>This manuscript is important to the scientific community because it provides information about the risks of traffic accidents in other sectors. The title of the article is in accordance with the rules. complete article abstract consisting of IMRAD. Sub-sections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. the manuscript is scientifically correct, research has been carried out in accordance with scientific principles. References can be added with new and similar research results.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>  | <p>The English used to write articles is easy to understand and clear</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>need to develop explanations on research methods and discussion. Was the respondent given an explanation before the research was conducted? Is there informed consent for the respondent? Has this research received ethical approval from the research ethics institute? please write down</p>   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Dina Lusiana Setyowati</b>           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Mulawarman University, Indonesia</b> |