

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102149
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF CROPPING PATTERN AND DISPOSAL PATTERN OF SELECTED CROPS IN CHHOTAUDEPUR, PANCHMAHALS AND DAHOD DISTRICT OF GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Several programs that use contemporary inputs and cutting-edge technology have brought about significant shifts in conventional farming practices throughout the past few years. However, the desired satisfaction level has not yet been reached by the progress. This is because the level of progress achieved up to this point varies from region to region. Some areas are falling behind in terms of agricultural development. This is seen most clearly in the country's more traditionally tribal areas.</p> <p>The title needs to be shortened because it is too long.</p> <p>It is possible that this manuscript's abstract contains a few sentences that are not entirely clear or that are difficult to follow.</p> <p>The section of the literature review that addresses this issue, which is the absence of this section, will be revised along with all other reviews written in the last 5 years.</p> <p>This manuscript does not have any significant scientific errors.</p> <p>The vast majority of references come from online websites; you should use the research article that is located in a reliable database, and you should use references that were published within the past five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of the English is adequate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supparang Ruangvanich
Department, University & Country	Chandrasem Rajabhat University, Thailand