

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102118
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS AND BRAND PREFERENCE OF CHICKPEA GROWERS TOWARDS SELECTED PESTICIDES IN JUNAGADH DISTRICT OF GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The background of the study was not clearly stated and explained. Especially on the reasons for the study of the chosen chickpea, the total numbers of chickpea farmers and dealers were used for this study, the site of the study, which is the District of Gujarat.</li> <li>Need to have a clear explanation on the current scenario of chickpea in District of Gujarat with clear research problem, research gap as well as the need to conduct this study at the chosen site.</li> <li>No research problem was provided.</li> <li>Need to have more past studies pertaining to the related studies to reviewed in the literature review.</li> <li>Have the methodological tools chosen written in paragraph form instead of point form with reasonings and justifications</li> <li>The results were well presented but need to have further interpretation instead of mere reporting the numbers from the tables/ figures.</li> <li>The conclusion can be further explained on how the results of this study can be used to narrow the current research gap that should have been identified in Chapter 1.</li> <li>There are in-text references that was not in the references list (Henry Edward Garrett in 1969 etc). Just listing an example. Please check.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chiam CC</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Open University Malaysia, Malaysia</b>