

## Can Elementary Particles have Excited States

Comment [A1]: States?

### Abstract

The existence of hypernuclei was discovered in a middle of the last century.

Comment [A2]: The middle

During the formation of these nuclei under the action of cosmic rays, one of the nucleons passes into its excited state and turns into the  $\Lambda$ - or  $\Sigma$ -particle.

In this paper, it is shown that various combinations and excited states of stable elementary particles have masses and magnetic moments, the values of which coincide with the masses and magnetic moments of short-lived particles with satisfactory accuracy.

Based on this agreement, it is assumed that unstable elementary particles can be excited states of various combinations of electrons, positrons and protons, and not quarks with fractional charges, as is usually assumed at present.

Comment [A3]: , and

### 1. The excited state of particles

In the early 50s of the last century, an important discovery was made in elementary particle physics [1].


Authors of this discovery was M. Danysh and E. Pnievsky. They showed that hypernuclei can form under an action of cosmic rays in targets.

Since in these cases the energy is not enough for the birth of a new particle, and reactions observed by them consist in the formation of excited states of the nucleon of a nucleus.

M. Danysh and E. Pnievsky studied the reaction



As  $K$ -meson and  $\pi$ -meson have the same decay reaction



it becomes clear that  $K^-$ -meson is the excited state of  $\pi^-$ -meson.

Since there are no other particles in the reaction (1),  $\Lambda$ -meson must be the excited state of neutron  ${}^0n$ .

The discovery of the possibility of the existence of particles in excited states indicated a further way of studying elementary particles.

The importance of this discovery became obvious to the Nobel laureate sir Cecil Frank Powell. He soon published in Nature his article "Excited Nucleons" [2], where he pointed out the importance of this discovery: *"We are entering a new field where basically new concepts remain to be established; but it seems reasonable to conjecture that the nucleon is transformed into an excited nucleon as a result of*

Comment [A4]: were

Comment [A5]: the

Comment [A6]: cases,

Comment [A7]: Sir

*changes in its internal constitution. If so, we are beginning to make a new penetration into what Maxwell called "the strange strata of the material world", a penetration into the world of the nucleon."*

However, a few years later, M. Gell-Mann proposed a hypothesis about the quark structure of elementary particles, and the physical community leaned **towards** this idea.

Comment [A8]: toward

The consideration of elementary particles as excited states was practically forgotten.

However, despite the efforts of experimental physicists in many laboratories around the world, quarks with a fractional electric charge have not been discovered.

In order to explain this, the idea of confinement was put forward, assuming the complete undetectability of quarks in the free state.

At the same time, the authors of the idea of confinement violated the Gilbert-Galileo principle, which imposes a ban on the existence of unobservable objects with specific physical properties [3], similar to angels from religious dogmas.

Comment [A9]: !!!!

At the same time, despite the unobservability of quarks, it is generally accepted to classify particles based on them.

Comment [A10]: !!!!!

The main parameters of this classification are hypothetical immeasurable properties: quark composition, isotopic spin, strangeness, etc. At the same time, it does not

Comment [A11]: !!!!!

bother anyone that the quark theory does not explain the really measurable basic properties of particles - their masses and magnetic moments.

Comment [A12]: delet

## 2. Particle masses

**2.1. Excited states of particles.** Being in a small volume of empty space, a particle can acquire an excitation due to kinetic energy if it moves along a closed trajectory.

At the same time, it is important that the particle carries out this movement without radiation, just as electron moves in a stationary orbit of a Bohr atom.

Comment [A13]: !!!!!

If we proceed from the analogy with Bohr atom, then a

particle will acquire a quasi-stable excited state if it rotates along a circle with a radius of  $R$  at a speed at which  $n$  de Broglie waves fit along the length of this circle.

So that

$$(3) \quad 2\pi R = n \cdot \lambda_{dB}$$

The de Broglie wavelength is determined by the particle momentum  $p$ :

$$(4) \quad \lambda_{dB} = \frac{2\pi k}{p}$$

Thus, we obtain the condition of the quasi-stable excited state of particle:

$$(5) \quad R \cdot p = nk.$$

If the motion of a charged particle is considered, then this equation includes the generalized momentum of the particle depending on the vector-potential  $A$  created by the magnetic field particle:

$$(6) \quad p_e^* = p_e - \frac{e}{c} A.$$

The vector-potential of the circular current is determined by the magnetic moment  $\mu_0$  of this current [4]:

$$(7) \quad A = \gamma \frac{\mu_0}{R^2 \sqrt{1-\beta^2}},$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}$  and  $\beta = \frac{v}{c}$  are relativistic factors.

As the magnetic moment of circular current

$$(8) \quad \mu_0 = \frac{eR\beta}{2}$$

in the case  $\beta \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$(9) \quad p_e^* = p_e - \gamma \frac{\alpha k}{2R}.$$

Where  $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c}$  is the fine structure constant. The sign of the particle

generalized momentum (spin)

Comment [A14]: no dash

$$(10) \quad S = [R \times p_e^*]$$

depends on the direction of rotation. Therefore, when writing Eq.(10) in scalar form

$$(11) \quad S = \pm k \cdot n - \frac{\alpha v}{2} :$$

it should be taken into account that the quasi-equilibrium excited state of a particle can exist at both signs of spin, i.e. the mass of the particle

$M = \gamma m_e$  ( $m_e$  is the mass of the electron at rest) can be determined from the equality:

$$(12) \quad \alpha\gamma = 2k \cdot n \pm 2S$$

**2.2. Mesons masses.** If there are  $Q$  leptons in a closed orbit, then, accordingly, its mechanical moment will increase by  $Q$  times.

At the same time, it is known from experiments that there are no elementary particles with a charge exceeding one in absolute magnitude. Therefore, the total contribution to the value of the vector potential made by additional leptons should be zero. As a result, for  $Q > 1$ , the equilibrium equation (12) must take into account the presence of several leptons:

If there are  $Q$  leptons in a closed orbit, then, accordingly, its mechanical moment will increase by  $Q$  times. At the same time, it is known from experiments that there are no elementary particles with a charge greater than one in absolute magnitude. Therefore, the contribution to the total value of the vector potential made by additional leptons should be calculated. As a result, for  $Q > 1$ , the equilibrium equation (12) must take into account the presence of several leptons. The mechanical moment will increase by a factor of  $Q$ , if there are  $Q$  rotating particles in the closed orbit. As a result, masses of mesons are equal to:

$$(13) \quad M_{meson} = \frac{2}{\alpha} (nQ \pm S/k)m_e$$

Since the spin of most mesons is zero, then for them we get:

Comment [A15]: is

Comment [A16]: it's

Comment [A17]: since they made of one quark and one antiquark

$$(14) \quad M_{S=0} = \frac{2nQ}{\alpha} m_e$$

Mesons at  $Q = 1$

From Eq.(14) in this **gase** at  $S = 0$  and  $n = 1$  we get the mass of a particle close to the mass of a charged  $\pi^\pm$ -meson:

$$(15) \quad M_{\substack{Q=1 \\ S=0. \\ n=1}} = \frac{2}{\alpha} m_e = 274.08 m_e$$

Comment [A18]: case

At  $S = k/2$ , the interesting case with a lower excitation energy, i.e. when  $n < 1$ .

Comment [A19]: delet

At  $n = 1/4$  we get the particle mass close to the mass of  $\mu^+$  meson:

$$(16) \quad M_{S=1}^{Q=1}, m_e = 205.56m_e.$$

Comment [A20]: 206.768

Mesons at  $Q = 2$

At  $Q = 2$ , the spin of all particles is zero and mass is defined by Eq.(14).

Meson at  $Q = 3$  The calculated mass of this particle is shown in Table(1).

Mesons at  $Q = 4$  Spins of these particles are zero and their masses can be obtained from Eq.(14).

All calculated meson masses in a comparison with the experimental data are shown in Table.(1).

**2.3. Mass of neutron and its excited states.**

the particle	possible decay	spin	meson mass $M_m$	Q	n	$\pm 2S/k$	$\alpha\gamma$	calculated mass $\gamma m_e$	$\frac{Mm}{\gamma m_e} \frac{e}{Mm} \%$
$\pi^\pm$	$\rightarrow \mu^\pm$	0	273.13 $m_e$	1	1	0	2	274.1 $m_e$	-0.35

$\mu^\pm$	$\rightarrow e^\pm$	k/ 2	206.77 $m_e$	1	1/ 4	+1/2	3/ 2	205.6m $m_e$	0.58
$K_\pm$	$\rightarrow \mu^\pm$	0	966.11 $m_e$	1	3. 5	0	7	959m $m_e$	0.73
$\pi^0$	$\rightarrow e^+e^-$	0	264.2 $m_e$	2	1/ 2	0	2	274.1m $m_e$	-1.9
$K_0$	$\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	0	973.9 $m_e$	2	2	0	8	1096m $m_e$	-7.1
$\rho^0$	$\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	0	1478.5 $m_e$	2	3	0	12	1644m $m_e$	-11.2
$\phi^0$	$\rightarrow K^+K^-$	0	1995m $m_e$	2	4	0	16	2192m $m_e$	-14.6
$\rho^+$	$\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$	k	1497.1 $m_e$	3	2	-2	10	1370.4 $m_e$	8
$\eta^0$	$\rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$	0	1071.9 $m_e$	4	1	0	8	1096m $m_e$	-2.25
$\omega^0$	$\rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^0$	0	1531.5 $m_e$	4	3/ 2	0	12	1644m $m_e$	-7.3

TABLE 1. The results of the meson masses calculation.

**Comment [A21]:** Each table must be with its item not below other item!!

2.3.1. *Neutron and neutral hyperons.* According to the generally accepted modern concept, neutron is a basic elementary particle consisting, like proton, of three quarks.

But even earlier I. E. Tamm was considering neutron as a composite corpuscle constructed from proton and electron [5].

If to develop the Tamm's idea, we must consider an association of proton with a relativistic electron [6].

It gives us a possibility to calculate all main parameters of neutron with quite satisfactory accuracy.

It turns out that the neutron mass is quite a bit higher than the mass of proton.

Ignoring this difference, we can approximately define masses of these baryons:

$$(17) \quad M_{b0} \cong \frac{2(n-1)}{\alpha} m_e$$

$M_{p+} +$

Where  $M_{p+}$  is the proton mass.

The comparison of these estimates and the data of measurements is given in Table(2).

2.3.2. *Negatively charged baryons.* Similarly, negatively charged baryons can be considered as corpuscles consisting of proton and two electrons.

Comment [A22]: delet

the particle	possible decay	spin	baryon masses $M_b$	Q	n	calculated mass $M^0$	$\frac{M^0}{M_b}$ %
$n^0$	$\rightarrow p^+ e^-$	k/2	1838.6 $m_e$	1	1	1836.1 $m_e$	0.14
$\Lambda_0$	$\rightarrow p \pi^-$	k/2	2183.1 $m_e$	1	2	2110.2 $m_e$	3.3
$\Sigma_0$	$\rightarrow \Lambda^0$	k/2	2333.6 $m_e$	1	3	2384 $m_e$	-2.2
$\Xi_0$	$\rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0$	k/2	2572.8 $m_e$	1	4	2658.3 $m_e$	-3.3

TABLE 2. Comparison of calculation results with measured masses of neutral baryons.

Orbits of each of these electrons are characterized by different parameters  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ :

$$(18) \quad M_{p^+} + M_{b^-} = \frac{2(n_1 + n_2)}{\alpha} m_e .$$

The comparison of these estimates and the data of measurements is given in Table(3).

The final calculated distribution of particles by mass in comparison with the measurement data is shown in Fig.(1).

### 3. Magnetic moments of particles

The magnetic moments of neutron and its excited states are composed of the proton magnetic moment and magnetic moment of orbital current created by electron (or positron). The electron's own magnetic moment does not participate in this since the angular momentum (spin) of the circular current created by electron is zero [7].

Just as in the previous case, when calculating the mass, we will consider neutron (and  $\Lambda$ -hyperon and  $\Sigma_0$ -hyperon) as a corpuscle consisting of proton and relativistic electron.

In neutron, one de Broglie wavelength fits on the electron orbit.

$\Lambda$ -hyperon and  $\Sigma_0$ -hyperon can be considered as excited states of neutron. In their electronic orbit, two and three de Broglie waves fit, respectively.

the particle	possible spin	particle	Q	$n_1$	$n_2$	$M_{cal}$	

Comment [A23]: delet


le	decay		mass $M_m$				$c$	$\frac{Mm - Mc}{alc}$ $Mm$ %
$\Sigma^-$	$\pi^- \pi^0$	k/2	2343.1 $m_e$	2	1	1	2384.3 $m_e$	-1.8
$\Xi^-$	$\Lambda^0 \pi^-$	k/2	2585.6 $m_e$	2	1	2	2658.3 $m_e$	-2.8
$\Omega^-$	$\Xi^- \pi^0$	$\frac{3}{2} \cdot$ k	3273.0 $m_e$	2	1	3	3206.5 $m_e$	2.0

TABLE 3. The result of calculation of negatively charged barion masses .



#### **4. Conclusion**

When describing the current state of particle physics, the term "zoo" is often used.



barion	Meas.magn.moment	Calc.magn.moment
$n_0$	$-1.9130427 \pm 0.0000005$	-1.9367
$\Lambda$	$0.613 \pm 0.004$	-0.6247
$\Sigma_0$	$1.613 \pm 0.08$	1.3779
$\Sigma^+$	$2.458 \pm 0.01$	$\approx 2.79$

TABLE 4. Comparison of calculated values of magnetic moments with measurement data




FIGURE 2. Comparison of calculated values of particle magnetic moments with measurement data.

And the point here is not only in the variety of objects of study, but also in the methodology of their research.

Modern physics seeks first of all to find a method of

**Comment [A25]:** This figure just for calculated values not compare with meas. One. i.e 0.6 not like -0.6

**Comment [A26]:** . where are the meas. Values!!!

**Comment [A27]:** delet comma

classifying particles by placing them in separate cells in order to distinguish their parameters.

The development of the idea of Danisch-Pniewski-Powell about the existence of excited states of particles radically simplifies this complex problem.


Comment [A28]: to

There are only three elementary particles - proton, electron and positron (antiproton belongs to the family of antiparticles, photons and neutrinos are not considered).

All other particles, previously classified as elementary, are considered short-lived complexes composed of three basic particles.

The approach to the description of particles based on the idea of Danysh-Pnevsky-Powell allows us to abandon the quark model and find simple formulas describing the masses and magnetic moments of short-lived complexes of three basic particles.

An additional good fortune is that by considering the neutron as the bound state of proton and electron, we can explain the nature of nuclear forces by the well-known quantum mechanical effect and quantitatively predict the binding energy of nuclei [7].



### References

- [1] M. Danysz and J. Pniewski, (1953)  
Delayed disintegration of a heavy  
nuclear fragment *Phil. Mag.* 44, 348
  
- [2] C.F. Powell (1954)  
Excited Nucleons  
*Nature* 173, N 4402, pp.469-475.
  
- [3] Vasiliev B.V. (2020)  
Principles of Constructing a Correct  
Microscopic Theory *Journal of Modern  
Physics*, 11, 907-919  
<https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperid=100889>
  
- [4] Landau L.D. and Lifshitz E.M. (1971)  
The Classical Theory of Fields (Volume 2 of A  
Course of Theoretical Physics) Pergamon Press, N.Y.
  
- [5] Tamm I.E. (1934)  
Neutron-Proton Interaction, *Nature* **134**, 1011

- [6]Vasiliev, B.V. (2015) Some Separate Problems of  
Microcosm:  
Neutrinos,  
Mesons, Neutrons and Nature of Nuclear Forces  
International Journal of Modern Physics and  
Application, v.3, n.2: 25-38  
<http://www.aascit.org/journal/archive2?journalId=909&paperId=3935>
- [7]B. V. Vasiliev (2022)  
About Nature of Nuclear Forces,  
Phys.Sci.Int.J., vol.26, no.8, pp.1-20, 2022  
<https://journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/article/view/757/1513>