

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_102313</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effectiveness of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Post-partum Depression in Low and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript holds high relevance for the scientific community, providing initial proof of the potential utility of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) in treating post-partum depression in underprivileged nations. Considering the significant portion of the global populace living in these regions, coupled with scarce mental health resources, this review extends valuable understanding into efficacious strategies to address post-partum depression in such environments.</li> <li>2. The present title seems generic and lacks context. A more context-specific title such as "The Impact of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy on Post-Partum Depression in Economically Disadvantaged Regions: A Comprehensive Review" could provide more clarity on the article's focus.</li> <li>3. Yes, the abstract of the article seems comprehensive. It provides a clear background on the prevalence of post-partum depression, especially in low and middle-income countries, and highlights the need for study in this area. It also explicitly mentions the aim of the systematic review and briefly explains the methods, including databases searched and how the data was synthesized.</li> <li>4. The manuscript maintains a commendable structure with proper segregation into distinct sections such as introduction, methodology, findings, discussion, and conclusion. This facilitates a smooth reading experience and aids comprehension.</li> <li>5. The manuscript seems scientifically robust, characterized by a transparent methodological approach, organized representation of results, and an impartial discussion. The sourcing of data is adequately referenced, which bolsters the manuscript's credibility. Nonetheless, without access to the primary data or the capability to validate the statistical analyses, I cannot guarantee its scientific accuracy.</li> <li>6. The list of references seems extensive and relevant to the content of the manuscript, incorporating both seminal and contemporary studies.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The abstract is well-written, clear, and professional. It uses appropriate terminology and presents its points in a structured and coherent manner.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frih Hacene
Department, University & Country	Badji Mokhtar University, Algeria