

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_101476
Title of the Manuscript:	AN APPROACH TO ELEVATED TROPONIN LEVELS DUE TO CAUSES OTHER THAN OCCLUSION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION IN EMERGENCY SITUATIONS
Type of the Article	Systematic review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>Yes. I do not have any suggestion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is correct</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a very comprehensive review that shows the importance of correct interpretation of elevated troponin level. The manuscript is very interesting and generally well written. The choice of articles included in this systematic review is adequate. The causes of cardiac and non-cardiac causes of troponin elevation are very nice and systematically analyzed. The most important part is the analysis of elevated troponin levels in critically ill patients. Tables in the Results section clearly show the difference between type 1 MI and other causes of troponin elevation.</p> <p>I have no other comments. I suggest this systematic review to be accepted for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lidija Savic
Department, University & Country	Univesity Clinical Center of Serbia, University of Blegrade, Serbia