

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_102942</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Influence of Bio-fertilizers and Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Toria (Brassica campestris L.)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>This manuscript is well written with a suitable title and good English. The substance is important for the scientific community. However, there are some details must be revised.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All treatments in Methods are better to be written in one single table to increase the readability.</li> <li>Observation for each growth parameter and yield attribute in Methodology must be shortly explained.</li> <li>Discussion parts must refer the results in Table 1 and Table 2.</li> <li>Figure 1 is not necessary. It is better to leave it out.</li> <li>Keywords must be written alphabetically.</li> <li>Objective in Abstract must be written in one sentence with the previous sentence.</li> <li>Some other writing details must be revised (See the attached manuscript).</li> </ol> |   |
| <p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>  | <p>I think the English of this manuscript ai good.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   |  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Abdul Kadir Salam</b>                |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Lampung, Indonesia</b> |