

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_102737</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ADDITIONS TO THE ORCHID FLORA OF ANAMALAI TIGER RESERVE, WESTERN GHATS, TAMIL NADU, INDIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Significant research on orchid flora of Western Ghats has been conducted. 37 new species are discovered in the Anamalai Tiger Reserve. An assessment of their rarity and endemism is given.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Mostly yes. Some additional literature are given direct in the MS</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes but check it again. There are small errors.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Very good paper! Minor corrections are needed. It's better to clarify the IUCN assessment – have you apply the system of IUCN (2022), or the ready estimation of the IUN red list (2022) is given? Other small comments are in the text. Check Latin names of plant species.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ilona Blinova</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Subdivision of the Federal Research Centre, Kola Science Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia</b>