

Comparative efficacy and economics of selected chemicals and neem oil against gram pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]

ABSTRACT

A field trail was conducted at Naini, Prayagraj during Kharif season 2022. Eight treatments were evaluated against *Helicoverpa armigera*. e. Spinosad 45% SC, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC, Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% , Indoxacarb 14.5% SC , Imidacloprid 18.5% SC , Neem oil 5% , Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5% and untreated Control. Results revealed that, among the different treatments lowest larval population of cowpea pod borer was recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC (1.300). Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1.467) was found to be the next best treatment followed by Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (1.678), Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5% (1.823), Spinosad 45% SC (1.945), Imidacloprid 18.5% SC (2.055) whereas Neem oil 5% (2.334) was found to be least effective against this pest. The best and most economical treatment was Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC (1:3.63) followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1:3.41). The yield of plot treated with Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC with (17.40 q/ha) followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (16.22 q/ha), Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (14.90 q/ha), Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5% (12.68 q/ha), Spinosad 45% SC (11.86 q/ha), Imidacloprid 18.5% SC (11.10 q/ha) and Neem oil 5% (10 q/ha) as compared to untreated control (8.20 q/ha).

Keywords: Cost-Benefit ratio, Efficacy, *Helicoverpa armigera*, Insecticides, Larval population.

Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) is an important pulse crop which can be cultivated throughout the year. It is one of the most ancient food crops known to man. It is cultivated for green pods as vegetables or for grain or for fodder purposes. They are highly photosensitive, late maturing and are characterized by trailing growth habit associated with heavy spreading branches. The indeterminate growth habit makes it difficult to fit into any successful multi cropping systems (Bindu et al., 2011)^[6]. Cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.), is an important grain

Comment [K1]: ,

Comment [K2]: on cowpea,

Comment [K3]: a half

Comment [K4]: a half

Comment [K5]: an

Comment [K6]: at

Comment [K7]: a half

Comment [K8]: , whereas

Comment [K9]: the

Comment [K10]: at

Comment [K11]: at

Comment [K12]: the

Comment [K13]: at

Comment [K14]: at

Comment [K15]: a half

Comment [K16]: the

Comment [K17]: *Helicoverpa armigera*, spinosad, chlorantraniliprole, neem

Comment [K18]: that

Comment [K19]: oldest

Comment [K20]: Delete

legume grown in the tropics where it constitutes a valuable source of protein in the diets of millions of people. Cowpea is a diploid with $2n = 22$ and a genome size of about 620 million basepairs (Boukeret *al.*, 2019)^[7]. It is drought tolerant like all legumes. Cowpea requires a temperature of not less than 20°C with an optimum range of 18- 32°C for good growth and development. Cowpea is just gaining prominence and gradually graduating to being a major factor among crops that are grown in the zone (Bayei and Banta, 2021)^[3].

Comment [K21]: .

The grain contains 26.61 percent protein, 3.99 percent lipid, 56.24 percent carbohydrates, 8.60 percent moisture, 3.84 percent ash, 1.38 percent crude fibre, 1.51 percent gross energy, and 54.85 percent nitrogen free extract (Kumar and Tayde, 2017)^[14]. Rough estimates indicate that annual global production is around 2 mt from an area of 5 m.ha. India accounts for about 0.5 mt production from around 1.5 m.ha. In India, the major area under grain cowpea is mainly confined to the states of Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh and Kerala where, it is mainly sown as a mixed crop with other pulses and cereals (Kumar and Tayde, 2017)^[14]. The major insect pests attacking cowpea are pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera*, leaf feeding caterpillar *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner), black cutworm *Agrotis ipsilon*, aphid *Aphis craccivora* and semilooper *Autographa nigricornis*. Gram Pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is one of the major insect pests of cowpea and has great economic importance. *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) is the most serious pest harboring over 181 plant species belonging to 45 families (Ali *et al.*, 2009)^[2]. A single caterpillar of this insect can damage 25-40 pods. It causes on average 30-40% damage to pods that can increase up to 80-90% under favourable environmental conditions (Chithraleka *et al.*, 2018)^[8].

Comment [K22]: Delete

Conventionally farmers are using various types of synthetic chemical insecticides to control gram pod borer. The repeated use of systemic insecticides alone has resulted in the development of resistance in the insect pest, and disturbance to the agro-ecosystem by affecting the non-target ones. Farmers largely follow the chemical method as it gives quick results. High frequency application is the common scenario. However, these chemicals in many cases invited the problems of pesticide resistance, resurgence, secondary pest outbreak, environmental contamination, residual toxicity, phytotoxicity and toxicity to beneficial organisms like predator and parasitoids as well as disturbance in homeostasis of natural population. Therefore, keeping in view the above facts the present investigation was carried out with the aim to develop a new

Comment [K23]:

Comment [K24]: .

Comment [K25]: up to

Comment [K26]: .

Comment [K27]: use

Comment [K28]: the gram

Comment [K29]: a

Comment [K30]: .

Comment [K31]: invite

Comment [K32]: outbreaks

Comment [K33]: disturbances in the homeostasis

Comment [K34]: the natural

Comment [K35]: of developing

management strategy for control of pest at farmer's farm economically.

Comment [K36]: for the economic control of pests on the farmer's farm

Comment [K37]: The introduction needs to mention the importance of each of the treatments used in the study

Materials and methods:

The experiment was conducted at the experimental research plot of the Department of Entomology, Central Research Farm (CRF), Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, during the *Kharif* season of 2022, in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments and untreated control were replicated three times using variety Ankurgomati seeds in a plot size of 2m×1m at a spacing of 30cm × 15cm with recommended package of practices excluding plant protection. The soil of the experimental site was well drained and medium high. Research field situated at 25°27' North latitude 80°05' East longitudes and at an altitude of 98 meter above sea level. The maximum temperature reaches upto 47°C in summer and drops down to 2°C in winter.

Comment [K38]: an

Comment [K39]: Delete

Comment [K40]: The research field is

Comment [K41]: 470 °C in summer and drops to 20 °C in winter.

Comment [K42]: The pest

Comment [K43]: the

Comment [K44]: Delete

Pest population was estimated by observing five plants selected randomly from each treatment for presence of egg masses and larvae at one day prior to insecticide application and at 3rd, 7th and 14th days after each application. The larval population over control against pod borer (*H. armigera*) was calculated by considering the mean of three observations recorded at 3rd, 7th and 14th days after first and second spraying.

Comment [K45]: sprayings

The healthy marketable yield obtained from different treatments was collected separately and weighed. The cost of insecticides used in this experiment was recorded during *Kharif* season. The cost of botanicals used was obtained from nearby market. The total cost of plant protection consisted of cost of treatments, sprayer rent and labour charges for the spray. There are two sprays throughout the research period and the overall plant protection expenses was calculated. Total income was realized by multiplying the total yield per hectare by the prevailing market price, while the net benefit is obtained by subtracting the total cost of plant protection from total income. Benefit over the control for each sprayed treatment was obtained by subtracting the income of the control treatment from that of each sprayed treatment. The C: B ratio was calculated by formula:

Comment [K46]: a

Comment [K47]: were

Comment [K48]: were

Comment [K49]: was

Comment [K50]: The benefit

Comment [K51]: the

$$\text{Gross return} = \text{Marketable yield} \times \text{Market price}$$

$$\text{Cost:Benefit Ratio} = \text{Gross return}$$

Total cost of cultivation

Comment [K52]: Modification and arrangement

Results and discussion

The results of the field trial with insecticides revealed that among the insecticides treated against gram podborer after first spray Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (1.689) was found significantly superior in reducing the larval population which was followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1.889) was found to be the next best treatment followed by Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (2.045), Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5% (2.200), Spinosad 45% SC (2.289), Imidacloprid 18.5% SC (2.400) and Neem oil 5% (2.622). After second spray, all the insecticides were found superior over untreated control. The overall mean analysis showed that Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (0.911) and Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1.044) were significantly superior than other treatments followed by Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (1.311), Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC

Comment [K53]: trial

+ Neem oil 5% (1.444), Spinosad 45% SC (1.600), Imidacloprid 18.5% SC (1.711) whereas Neem oil 5% (2.045). The treatments were found to be statistically at par with each other.

Comment [K54]: and

Comment [K55]: The results do not match the table, you have to correct them.

Chlorantraniliprole was found to reduce the gram podborer larval population to a tune of (1.300). Regarding the yield of cowpea, Chlorantraniliprole registered significantly higher yield (17.40 q/ha) and C:B ratio of 3.63 (Table 1). The present finding is in line with observation on field application of Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC 0.5 ml/l against cowpea podborer and recorded lowest larval population in cowpea (Upadhyay et al., 2020^[23], Patil et al., 2018^[17], Santhosh and Kumar, 2022^[20] and Rani et al., 2018^[18]).

Comment [K56]: larval population by 1.300

Comment [K57]: a

Comment [K58]: observations

Comment [K59]: the

Indoxacarb 14.5% SC, Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5%, Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5%, Spinosad 45% SC, Imidacloprid 18.5% SC along with Neem oil 5% was found effective in reducing larval population (Meena et al., 2018^[15], Gautam et al., 2018^[10], Bharti et al., 2015^[4], Singhet al., 2014^[22], Deshmukhet al., 2010^[9] and Jagtap et al., 2020^[12]). Present finding is in conformity with Konda and Kumar (2022)^[13] who reported that the (2.334) performed as the effective botanical in reducing the highest percent of larval population and also reported that yield and quality parameters recorded, were higher in treated plots compared to control plots.

Sreekanth et al. (2014)^[21] who reported maximum control of gram podborer with the application of Chlorantraniliprole followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC.

Comment [K60]: Delete

Gayathri and Kumar (2021)^[11] who reported that Chlorantraniliprole gave the best performance with minimum (1.300) number of larvae followed by Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (1.467 larvae), which were at par with each other. The efficacy of newer insecticide for the management of *Helicoverpa armigera*, most effective was Half dose of Spinosad 45%SC + Neem oil 5% followed by Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5%SC + Neem oil 5% reported by (Abbas *et al.*, 2021^[1] and Bhat *et al.*, 2020^[5]).

Comment [K61]: a

Comment [K62]: insecticides

Comment [K63]: a half

Maximum cost benefit ratio (1:3.63) was obtained in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC which was supported by Upadhyay *et al.* (2020)^[23] who reported that Chlorantraniliprole recorded the highest yield. The next cost benefit ratio obtained in the treatment Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (1:3.41) was supported by Sai *et al.* (2021)^[19]. The least cost benefit ratio was observed in Neem oil 5% (1:2.09) similar findings made by Moosan and Kumar (2022)^[16] but superior as compared to control plot (1:1.91).

Comment [K64]: The maximum

Comment [K65]: of

Comment [K66]: the

Comment [K67]: ,

From the above discussion it may be concluded that among the tested insecticides, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC may be recommended for most and economic and effective management of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* on cowpea.

Comment [K68]: Delete

Comment [K69]: the

TABLE 1. Efficacy of certain insecticides against larval population of gram podborer on cowpea (overall mean)

S.No.	Treatments	Larval Population of <i>H. armigera</i> /five plants							Overall mean	Yield (q/ha)	C:B ratio
		First spray				Second spray					
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS			
T ₁	Spinosad 45% SC	2.800	2.467	2.133	2.267	2.067	1.200	1.533	1.945	11.86	1:2.52
T ₂	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	2.667	1.933	1.533	1.600	1.267	0.667	0.800	1.300	17.40	1:3.63
T ₃	Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5%	2.867	2.200	1.867	2.067	1.667	1.067	1.200	1.678	14.90	1:3.03
T ₄	Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	2.933	2.067	1.733	1.867	1.400	0.800	0.933	1.467	16.22	1:3.41
T ₅	Imidacloprid 18.5% SC	3.000	2.533	2.267	2.400	2.133	1.400	1.600	2.055	11.10	1:2.35
T ₆	Neem oil 5%	2.933	2.733	2.467	2.667	2.467	1.800	1.867	2.334	10	1:2.09
T ₇	Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5%	2.800	2.400	2.067	2.133	1.800	1.133	1.400	1.823	12.68	1:2.62
T ₈	Control	3.067	3.200	3.333	3.467	3.600	3.733	4.133	3.578	8.20	1:1.91
	F-test	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
	S. Ed (±)	0.0324	0.089	0.077	0.073	0.068	0.057	0.068	0.251		
	C.D. (P = 0.5)	—	0.193	0.164	0.153	0.150	0.121	0.143	0.067		

Comment [K70]: Modification and arrangement

Conclusion

From the analysis of the present findings, it can be concluded that Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC (1.300) is more effective in controlling larval population of gram pod borer in cowpea followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1.467) was found to be the next best treatment followed by Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (1.678), Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5% (1.823), Spinosad 45% SC (1.945), Imidacloprid 18.5% SC (2.055) and Neem oil 5% (2.334) in managing *Helicoverpa armigera*. Among the treatments studied, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC gave the highest cost benefit ratio (1:3.63) and marketing yield (17.40 q/ha) followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1:3.41 and 16.22 q/ha), Half dose of Spinosad 45% SC + Neem oil 5% (1:3.03 and 14.90 q/ha), Half dose of Imidacloprid 18.5% SC + Neem oil 5% (1:2.62 and 12.68 q/ha), Spinosad 45% SC (1:2.52 and 11.86 q/ha), Imidacloprid 18.5% SC (1:2.35 and 11.10 q/ha) and Neem oil 5% (1:2.09 and 10 q/ha) as such more trials are required in future to validate the findings.

Comment [K71]: the

Comment [K72]: a half

Comment [K73]: . As such more trials

Comment [K74]: the

References

1. Abbas, A., Usman, M., Arshad, F., Ali, M., Arshad, M. W., Iqbal, A., Abbas, A. and Chen Ri-Zhao. (2021). Efficacy of different insecticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) and their safety to the beneficial fauna. *International Journal of Biosciences*, **18**(2): 82-88.
2. Ali, A., Choudhury, R. A., Ahmad, Z., Rahman, F., Khan, F. R. and Ahmad, S. K. (2009). Some biological characteristics of *Helicoverpa armigera* on chickpea. *Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection*, **4**(1): 99-106.
3. Bayei, J. D. and Banta, A. L. (2021). Effect of location and time of introducing cowpea on growth parameters of cowpea in a maize/cowpea intercrop in southern Kaduna, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Science World Journal*, **16**(2): 81-84.

Comment [K75]: Ensure that the references in the text are identical to the references at the end of the research

4. **Bharti, P., Singh, S. P. N. and Kumar, N. (2015).** Efficacy of some insecticides, bio-products and their phytotoxic effect against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.) in chickpea. *Current Biotica*, **9**(3):247-255.
5. **Bhati, R., Singh, R. and Singh, G. (2020).** Efficacy of Bio-Pesticides and Novel Insecticides against Tomato Fruit Borer (*H. armigera*). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **11**:2889-2896.
6. **Bindu, M. R., John, S., Suja, G., Indira, M. and Vilasini, T. N. (2011).** A high yielding short duration cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)] variety 'Hridya'. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **2**(4):506-509.
7. **Boukar, O., Belko, N., Chamarthi, S., Togola, A., Batiemo, J., Owusu, E., Mohammed, H., Diallo, S., Muhammed, L. U., Olusoji, O., Fatokun, C. and Fatokun, C. (2019).** Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*): Genetics, genomics and breeding. *Journal of Plant Breeding*, **138**(4): 415-424.
8. **Chitralakha, G., Yadav, S. and Verma, T. (2018).** Efficacy of insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* on chickpea, *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(3):1058-1061.
9. **Deshmukh, S. G., Sureja, B. V., Jethva, D. M. and Chatar, V. P. (2010).** Field efficacy of different insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting chickpea. *Legume Research - An International Journal*, **33**(4): 269-273.
10. **Gautam, M. P., Chandra, U. S. N., Singh, S. K. and Yadav, G. S. K. (2018).** Studies on Efficacy of botanicals against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7**:612-618.
11. **Gayathri, L. and Kumar, A. (2021).** Field efficacy of certain insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea in Prayagraj. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **9**(3): 280-283.
12. **Jagtap, T. B., Ugale, M. V. and Chavan, V. T. (2020).** Incremental Cost Benefit Ratio (ICBR) and Economics of different biopesticides and insecticide treatments on chickpea.

kpea (*Cicer arietinum*) for management of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **9**(5): 373-378.

13. **Konda, J.S.D. and Kumar, A. (2022).** Efficacy and economics of selected biopesticides against pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on cowpea. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(5): 1395-1398.
14. **Kumar, K. and Tayde, A. R. (2017).** Comparative efficacy of selected botanicals and Novaluran against spotted pod borer [*Maruca vitrata* (Fabricius)] (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6**(5): 540-542.
15. **Meena, R. K., Naqvi, A. R., Meena, D. S. and Shivbhagvan. (2018).** Evaluation of bio-pesticides and indoxacarb against gram pod borer on chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(2): 2208-2212.
16. **Moosan, M. and Kumar, A. (2022).** Efficacy and economics of different insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(7): 4471-4474.
17. **Patil, P. V., Pawar, S. A., Kadu, R. V. and Pawar, D. B. (2018).** Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides, botanicals and microbial against tomato fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(5): 2006-2011.
18. **Rani, D. S., Kumar, S. P., Venkatesh, M. N., Sri, C. H. N. S. and Kumar, K. A. (2018).** Bio efficacy of insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in Red gram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(2): 3173-3176.
19. **Sai, K. P., Tayde, A. R., Reddy, R. D. and Srivastava, V. K. (2021).** Management of gram pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea with bio-pesticides and combination. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **9**(1): 561-563.
20. **Santhosh, K. and Kumar, A. (2022).** Comparative efficacy of selected insecticides and neem products against chickpea pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)]. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(6): 1558-1562.
21. **Sreekanth, M., Lakshmi, M. S. M. and Rao, Y. K. (2014).** Bio-efficacy and economics of certain new insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *International*

Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences,**4**(1): 11-15

- 22. Singh, A., Ali, S. and Gupta, P. K. (2014).** Bio-efficacy of insecticides against GramPod Borer, *Helicoverpaarmigera*(Hub.) in Chick pea. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*,**22**(2): 257-259.
- 23. Upadhyay, R. R., Singh, P. S. and Singh, S. K. (2020).**Comparative efficacy and economics of certain insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpaarmigera*(Hubner) in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*,**48**(4):403-410.

UNDER PEER REVIEW