

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102958
Title of the Manuscript:	“Comparative efficacy of selected biopesticides with chemicals against shoot and fruit borer, Leucinodes orbonalis (Guenee) on brinjal”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The language and presentation should be more better and scientifically..... it seems that author has tried to follow some previous publication but he may follow the basic idea and teme and avoid to copy and paste the Words/statements</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Almost right</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>No its need some more work for grooming/polishing</p> <p>Almost</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Poor quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please try to provide the detail location and site of the experiments. And also provide the criteria of time for first spray and justify your results with more references. Provide some detail regarding insecticides used in the experiment their name with mode of action and also give their mode of action. Furnish all the information in some tabulated form pleas</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Waseem Akbar
Department, University & Country	Pakistan