

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_101688
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF MINERAL NUTRITION ON IRON TOXICITY SEVERITY, LEAF CHOROPLYL LEVELS AND GRAINS SOLUBLES SUGAR OF FLOODY LOWLAND RATOON RICE (Oryza sp) Nerica L 14 UNDER RAINFED REGIME
Type of the Article	Original paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, however oOnly a small amount of novelty in the context of plant physiology seems to be offered by this article. In order to support the innovation scientifically, more thorough additional evidence is required. It still offers a scientific perspective on the particular way that plants respond to environmental stress.</p> <p>Yes, The title can be changed once again to make it more appealing in terms of how plants react to stress.</p> <p>Yes, In general, the abstract has provided an overview of the entire study project and the findings.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, There are still a lot of references in here that are older than 2000 and have not been updated. Please update them with at least the latest seven years' worth of libraries.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language of this manuscript still needs to be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I believe that after revisions, this work could still be accepted for publication in this journal.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hery Purnobasuki
Department, University & Country	Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia