

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_101582
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification, quantification of phytochemicals and Elemental Analysis from ethanolic leaf extract of <i>Andrographis paniculata</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Introduction need more referencing for all the statements given. Need more historical and geographical information about the plant.</p> <p>2. Current article referencing needed.</p> <p>3. In Ethnomedical uses of the plant section, which plant you are mentioning about?</p> <p>4. Grammatical errors observed all over the article.</p> <p>5. Chemical and material information are missing. Chemical's source and origin.</p> <p>6. In the preparation of the sample, what was the initial weight of the leaf taken for drying (Before drying).</p> <p>7. At what temperature the powdered sample was stored?</p> <p>8. How much time taken for concentrate in the water bath?</p> <p>9. What is room temperature, stirring speed and time? What filter used, what membrane.</p> <p>10. Control sample information is missing.</p> <p>11. Spelling mistakes are observed all over the article.</p> <p>12. Result and discussion section needs more referencing.</p> <p>13. Fig 3 source of adaptation.</p> <p>14. Discussion statements need more referencing and justification.</p> <p>15. Referencing 1,2,3,5,13 18 and 32 referencing need to rewritten.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunasekar Manoharan
Department, University & Country	New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA