

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_102800</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of land configuration, seed rates and variety on physiology and yield of rainfed soybean in semi-arid tropics of central India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Very important</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive enough.</b></p> <p>4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b></p> <p>5. <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p>6. <b>More recent references should be included.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality is suitable. A few corrections to be effected are indicated in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Introduction: The introduction should be broken into three paragraphs. Problem statements and objectives should occupy different paragraphs individually.</p> <p>Materials and methods: The formulas for calculating CGR, RGR, and LAI should be included.</p> <p>Results: ANOVA table showing the interactions among the treatment should have been included in the study.</p> <p>References: Inconsistent format.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Abiola Toyin Ajayi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria</b>