

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_102013
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF LISTENING TO MUSIC ON MENTAL HEALTH OF NIGERIAN UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Title: Good. But the author(s) understand that the "EFFECTS" is not the reflection of the research methods and statistics used. I believe the author(s) conducted a description of a survey that reflects on Data management. Therefore, there will be a need for the author(s) to avoid words like (Effects and Impact) in this study because it generates different opinions about the study.</p> <p>Abstract: Good: the first paragraph needs to rewrite. I believe the author (s) duplicated the title of is the study. I believe what the author(s) needed is to write a brief introduction followed by study-specific objective(s) or only the specific objective(s). Also, the method in the abstract is not clear. Results in the abstract is well presented.</p> <p>Introduction: satisfactory. Study gaps were stated. But how to achieve these gaps were not stated (objectives).</p> <p>Methods: good. But these of the study participants are not clear. The author(s) consider Nigeria undergraduate students in the title but only used three institutions in one city with given a reason or steps used to arrive at that point. Also, the Author(s) mentioned random selection and how was this done? If this is done it will be good for the author(s) to state how many students were available for the study before the included participants were randomly selected using a specific method. Although, I believe this descriptive study the author(s) need to avoid the randomized control trial concept.</p> <p>Results: well presented Conclusion: Satisfactory</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Minor	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally view: the manuscript is good and addresses current issues by contributing to the body of knowledge in mental health. But the author(s) failed to consider the gaps Identified in the introduction of this specific mental health such as anxiety, depression many more rather than looking at the broad mental health. This was due lack of using sophisticated mental health and music measures. This I believe will affect the acceptability of the study Data. Although, the author(s) provided validity of the questionnaires without how it was done.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajele Kenni Wojujutari
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria